During Operation UNIFIED PROTECTOR (OUP), NATO forces’ performance with regard to avoiding damage to cultural property in Libya was well received by academia and in the media. Staff at HQ Supreme Allied Commander Transformation (SACT) Strategic Plans and Policy Branch believed that NATO’s successful efforts at Cultural Property Protection (CPP) in Libya could form the basis for strengthening NATO’s approach to CPP for future operations. The JALLC was subsequently tasked, through the 2012 Programme of Work, to analyze how CPP was conducted and successfully addressed during OUP and make recommendations for incorporating the resulting lessons into NATO’s operational planning and execution.

Initial research into what cultural property is in terms of CPP was undertaken by the project team. This included investigating NATO’s and the Nation’s CPP related international legal responsibilities, as well as which international organizations have an interest in CPP in order to identify contacts for further CPP related data collection.

The conclusions from this study were used to create a set of recommendations for the further institutionalization of CPP within NATO.

**PROJECT OVERVIEW**
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Initial research into what cultural property is in terms of CPP was undertaken by the project team. This included investigating NATO’s and the Nation’s CPP related international legal responsibilities, as well as which international organizations have an interest in CPP in order to identify contacts for further CPP related data collection.

The conclusions from this study were used to create a set of recommendations for the further institutionalization of CPP within NATO.

**MAIN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

In NATO, CPP is treated as an operational-level sub-element of Environmental Protection and Civil-Military Cooperation (CIMIC), and is included in targeting doctrine, but is not covered as a stand-alone issue in strategic-level policy or doctrine. NATO policy should be created for CPP featuring the commitment of the Alliance to protect cultural property, adequate definitions, and clear designation of CPP related roles and responsibilities within NATO.

NATO’s CPP obligations under international law can be met by using existing tools, procedures and processes that fulfill similar functions in other areas. The case study of OUP shows that while the NATO targeting process is capable of supporting CPP, targeting is not the best functional proponent for leading CPP efforts in a NATO operation. CIMIC, through the Theatre Civil Assessment, is better suited for taking the lead on obtaining, collecting, and making cultural property information available to those who require it. Existing NATO doctrine should be amended to reflect that CIMIC be the functional proponent for CPP, and cultural property information should be included in the Theatre Civil Assessment.
Regarding training, CPP is addressed in some NATO courses but greater scope and depth on the subject would benefit NATO’s approach to CPP. Therefore, relevant NATO training courses should be updated and/or created to provide deeper and broader CPP specific training to those working in CIMIC, operations planning, and targeting functional areas.

**Project Execution**

The research performed by the project team established the criteria by which NATO’s conduct of CPP in OUP was measured, allowing the project team to hypothesize that NATO’s successes in protecting cultural property in OUP were based on deliberate and mature operational planning within NATO rather than measures taken at the time of OUP. That hypothesis was tested by gaining a thorough understanding of how CPP was addressed in all phases of OUP, through interviews, further research, and examining what NATO policy and doctrine exists to support CPP.

Research was conducted at the JALLC in Monsanto, Lisbon, Portugal from July 2012 to December 2012 with data collected in Italy, Austria, Germany and Belgium. Several organizations provided data for this report, including the US Committee of the Blue Shield, the US Defense Intelligence Agency, the International Military Cultural Resources Working Group, the NATO Intelligence Fusion Centre and the CIMIC Centre of Excellence.

**Main Findings and Recommendations (cont’d)**

Regarding training, CPP is addressed in some NATO courses but greater scope and depth on the subject would benefit NATO’s approach to CPP. Therefore, relevant NATO training courses should be updated and/or created to provide deeper and broader CPP specific training to those working in CIMIC, operations planning, and targeting functional areas.
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